

2019 Ontario Envirothon Championship Presentation Scoring Sheet

Team Number: _____ Judge's Initials: _____

Video Link: _____

<i>Excellent = 5 Very Good = 4 Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1 Not Present = 0</i>						
Part 1. Relating the scenario to the Envirothon subject areas. How well did the team relate the Envirothon topics to their solution? (25 points max)						Score
A. Aquatics						5 4 3 2 1 0
B. Forestry						5 4 3 2 1 0
C. Soils						5 4 3 2 1 0
D. Wildlife						5 4 3 2 1 0
E. Agroecology						5 4 3 2 1 0
Subtotal:						/25
Part 2. Application of Information (20 points max)						Score
A. Economic issues – team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issues related to the problem/challenge (eg. cost of implementing the solution, economic impacts to local resources, cost of doing nothing, etc).						5 4 3 2 1 0
B. Social Issues – Team demonstrated a solid understanding of social issues related to the problem/challenge						5 4 3 2 1 0
C. Interrelationships – The interrelationships between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies.						5 4 3 2 1 0
D. Stakeholders – The solution/response reflected the concerns of all affected groups and issues.						5 4 3 2 1 0
Subtotal:						/20
Part 3. Presentation Skills (20 points max)						Score
A. Presentation Impact – Points are made in a creative, convincing and enthusiastic manner. The team held judges attention.						5 4 3 2 1 0
B. Organization of Presentation – Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and a strong conclusion.						5 4 3 2 1 0
C. Enhancement of Presentation – Presentation was enhanced by team members delivery: e.g. eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality etc.						5 4 3 2 1 0
E. Visual aids – Visual aids were used to teams advantage, were correct, eye appealing, readable and neat.						5 4 3 2 1 0
Subtotal:						/20
Part 4. Required Elements (15 points max)						Score
A. Participation – All group members participated equally.						5 4 3 2 1 0
B. Introduction to judges – Groups members were introduced to the judges in a clear manner using team numbers and without reference to a school name/region.						5 4 3 2 1 0
C. Timing – Participants made good use of their time.						5 4 3 2 1 0
Subtotal:						/15
Total						/ 80